**Deadline 5 Submission:** 

**Response to:** 

REP4 – 067: Doc 6.2.12A Biodiversity Impact Assessment Calculations

REP4 -111: Doc 17.2A Landscape Ecological Management Plan

REP4 – 121: Doc 18.13 Applicant's response to deadline 3 submissions (part 2 HBBC) - Ecology

## Application by Tritax Symmetry (Hinckley) Limited for an Order Granting Development Consent for the Hinckley National Rail Freight Interchange

## (ref. TR050007)

| Document and Section Reference                       | Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council Response  |
|--|---|
| REP4 - 067 – Biodiversity Impact                     | The Council requests that a full BNG assessment is produced for the entirety of the site for all  |
| Assessment Calculations                              | linear, area and watercourse habitats. If the applicant is to produce a detailed BNG assessment at a later date, this should be produced using the Statutory Metric rather than DEFRA Biodiversity Metric 3.1. It is noted that still no calculations have been provided as part of the update document.  |
| REP4 – 111 – Landscape Ecological<br>Management Plan | The updated text at para 2.7 states that <i>"the actions described in this document promote the stewardship of the land from a public and private amenity perspective as well as ensuring the maximum biodiversity credits are achieved"</i> . The Council would like to see this separated as much as possible and for amenity areas, the post development BNG condition will have to be set to "poor" to account for heavy footfall/dog fouling etc. It should be noted that if the applicant is to use the BNG Statutory Metric in future, the LEMP will need to be replaced by a Habitat Management and Monitoring Plan (HMMP). |

| REP4 - 121 – Response to deadline 3<br>submission (part 2 HBBC) | Points 7,10 & 11 regarding the Environment Bank usage – the Council still requests that more clarity and certainty is provided around the usage and reliance on the Environment Bank.  |
|---|--|
|   | Point 11 – the Council does not agree that adverse impacts from recreational usage are unlikely to be significant. The management of the area should consider and appropriately plan for degradation through footfall and loss of early pioneer species. |